Sire Summary of Ram Test Performance (1995-2016)
Research Center Technical Report 2016-2

Texas A&M AgriLife Research - San Angelo
Texas A&M System

Summary
Performance data from the Texas A&M Ram Performance Tests from 1995 to 2016 were summarized by sire. The calculations used performance of all rams tested that had sire identified. This included 1752 rams from 345 different sires. In order to be included in the report, a sire must have had 4 or more sons with data, or have 3 sons with at least one of those sons on the current year's test. Evaluations based on 3 or fewer sons are less reliable. Substantial changes could occur in the rankings for rams with small numbers of sons if/when data from additional sons become available in future years. A mixed linear model was used to calculate the values. (For more details on how the numbers were calculated contact Dan Waldron).

Methods
The Texas A&M Central Ram Performance Test has been conducted annually to provide a tool for sheep breeders to use in selecting breeding stock. The test's purpose is to identify genetic differences in performance of rams evaluated in the same environment. The test can be considered either as a performance test, where the emphasis is on evaluating the rams on test, or as a progeny test, where the emphasis is on evaluating the sires of the rams on test. This report focuses on the progeny test aspect.

In calculating this summary, the following assumptions were made: 1) all sires were mated to similar ewes, and 2) all sires have a representative sample of sons on test. If a particular sire was mated to genetically superior ewes, his evaluation will be biased upward. No pedigree or performance information is collected on the ewes that produced the tested rams. So, even though it is known that all ewes are not equal, we must assume that all sires were mated to similar ewes.

If a breeder tests only the best sons of Sire A and merely average sons of sire B, Sire A's evaluation will be biased upward. Of course, it is not feasible to test the entire lamb crop of each sire. Therefore, we assume that each sire group is a representative sample of sons.

Even though the information contained in this report has limitations, it is being presented so sheep breeders can make more informed selection decisions. If you need a ram whose daughters will produce finer fleeces, select from among the rams on test with fine fleeces and use these lists to select a ram from a sire that consistently produced finer-fleeced sons.

The ROM Index (shown on following page) was developed with the aim of combining growth rate, clean fleece weight, staple length, and fiber diameter into one measure of overall genetic merit. The ROM Index is recommended as a selection criterion. However, breeders may want to weight the traits differently from the ROM Index depending on their breeding objective.

This report was compiled by:

D.F. Waldron
Texas A&M AgriLife Research
Texas A&M System
7887 US Highway 87 N
San Angelo, TX 76901

Notes about sire rankings

The sire ranking pages show how many sons contributed to each sire's estimate. The column marked 'EBV' shows the sire's Estimated Breeding Value. The EBVs are the expected performance based on the average performance from 1995-2000 at the Texas A&M Sonora Central Ram Performance Test. Performance of progeny will vary in different management programs. However, the differences between sires are not expected to vary in different environments. Therefore, if Sire A has a finer EBV for fiber diameter than Sire B, Sire A's daughters are expected to be finer than those of Sire B, even though they are managed on pasture and expected to be finer than their sires.

The ROM (Registry of Merit) Index was calculated as follows:

I =	60	x	[average daily body weight gain in pounds]
	+ 4	x	[365-day staple length in inches] (with no credit above 5.5 inches)
	+ 4	x	[365-day clean fleece weight in pounds]
	- 3	x	[fiber diameter in microns (μm) - 22.9](no additional credit for being finer than 19.9 microns or coarser than 24.9 microns)
	+1.25	x	[22.0 – CV] (with a maximum increase or decrease of 5 points)

The index calculation used the average fiber diameter and CV from a core sample. Because fleeces were not cored in 1997, sons tested in 1997 were not used for the rankings for fiber diameter (core), fiber variability (CV), and ROM index.

Breeder prefixes, names and towns.

Prefix	Breeder	Town
ASU	Angelo State University	San Angelo
B Faris	Brian Faris	Sonora
Bradford	Maurice Bradford	San Angelo
C&S Menzies	Carl & Shirley Menzies	San Angelo
Campbell	Fred Campbell	Paint Rock
Eckhoff	Eckhoff	Bend
Fincher	Ken Fincher	Water Valley
Gainer	Gainer Ranch	Menard
Hageman	Hageman Sisters	Wyoming
HCR	Hill Country Rambouillet	Sonora
JWR	JW Ranch	Menard
Landers	Landers Ranch	Menard
M Jernigan	Mike Jernigan	Iraan
MSC	Mortgaged Sheep Company	Eden
OFP	Our Father's Place	Ft. Stockton
P Rose	Pat Rose III	Brackettville
R-J	Richardson-Jernigan	Iraan
S Menzies	Scotty Menzies	Menard
Schunke	James Schunke	Goldthwaite
T.Jones	Travis Jones	Fredericksburg
TAES	Texas Agric. Experiment Station	Sonora and Barnhart
TRSG	Texas Rambouillet Superior Gen.	Mertzon
WB	Walking Bars	Garden City

Sires Ranked for ROM Index

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2016.

Rank	Sire	Sons		EBV
1	R-J 6140	30		134
2	R-J 3188	71		132
3	R-J 192	19		132
4	OFP 956	7		131
5	TAES 6483	5		130
6	OFP 656	10		129
7	TAES 6090	11		129
8	TAES B3167	16		129
9	P ROSE R3297	14		128
10	OFP 829	13		128
11	TAES B2863	7		128
12	OFP 809	7		128
13	TAES 6808	12		128
14	TAES 8468	5		128
15	C&S MENZIES 1325	11		128
16	TAES 8762	17	*	127
17	P ROSE R3763	7		127
18	TAES 8656	10	*	127
19	P ROSE R3557	17		127
20	TAES 7610	9		127
21	TAES B3252	22		127
22	TAES 6099	12		127
23	M JERNIGAN 2367	15		127
24	TAES B2888	25		127
25	LANDERS J511	5		126
26	TAES 8778	10	*	126
27	TAES 7570	38		126
28	P ROSE R3865	10		126
29	TRSG 773	20		126
30	TAES B2202	10		126
31	P ROSE R4167	6		126
32	TRSG 310	7		126
33	TAES 7824	5		126
34	B FARIS 253	4		126
35	P ROSE R3645	8		125
36	TAES 8582	5		125
37	TAES 5501	8		125
38	TAES 5795	11		125
39	P ROSE R3653	7		125
40	TAES 6014	7		125
41	M JERNIGAN 6968	12		125
42	TAES B3403	12		125
43	C&S MENZIES 2464	27		125
44	M JERNIGAN 3683	7		125
45	W Fincher 142	5		124
46	C&S MENZIES 2532	26		124
47	TAES 7693	4		124
48	HAGEMAN 3051	6		124
49	B FARIS 388	14		124
50	TAES 6880	6		124
51	TAES 6439	6		124
52	TAES 7118	16		124
53	SCHUNKE 317	8		124
54	T.JONES 448	14		124
55	TRSG 1610	4		124
56	Schunke 3447	11	*	124
57	HCR 622	48		123
58	TAES 8036	4		123
59	P ROSE R4407	6		123
60	TAES 7363	14		123
61	B FARIS 325	11		123
62	TAES 8117	4		123
63	M JERNIGAN 5715	4		122
64	TAES 8287	24		122
65	SCHUNKE 2388	5		122
66	TAES 6574	4		122
67	TAES 8896	5		122
68	TAES 8803	11		122
69	GAINER 01119	9		122
70	TAES 6987	27		122
71	TRSG 881	7		122
72	TAES B2452	7		122
73	TRSG 1377	5		122
74	C&S MENZIES 2626	15		122
75	C&S MENZIES 2720	5		121
76	TAES 7171	13		121
77	M JERNIGAN 5650	5		121
78	R-J 243	18		121
79	TRSG 298	25		121
80	P ROSE R3317	4		121
81	RABEL143 WYOMING	5		121
82	T.JONES 1-83	11		121
83	TAES 6648	6		121
84	TRSG 902	10		121
85	CAMPBELL 4047	5		121
86	TRSG 753	9		121
87	TAES B2365	4		121
88	R-J 2669	7		120
89	TRSG 1008	7		120
90	TAES 6724	6		120
91	TAES 5678	4		120
92	TAES 5034	4		120
93	P ROSE R3761	15		120
94	TRSG 1223	5		120
95	JENNINGS 77	4		120
96	S MENZIES 866	7		120
97	WB 2402	5		119
98	B FARIS 392	25		119
99	CAMPBELL 5399	10		119
100	Keyes 202	5	*	119
101	TAES 7003	12		119
102	B FARIS 242	5		118
103	TRSG 953	8		118
104	C&S MENZIES 1833	14		118
105	C&S MENZIES 3138	8		118
106	MSC 1415	4		117
107	CLARK 156	4		117
108	SCHUNKE 1878	13		117
109	C&S MENZIES 3113	12		117
110	JWR 557-978640	7		117
111	ASU 4084	7		117
112	WB 2365	4		117
113	BRADFORD 0242	4		116
114	SCHUNKE 1992	6		116
115	TLB	4		116
116	Price 1245	5		116
117	ECKHOFF 0400	7		116
118	T.JONES 545	5		116
119	C&S MENZIES 2454	4		116
120	S MENZIES 865	12		116
121	FINCHER 1407	9		115
122	R-758	5		115
123	T.JONES 8-21	10		115
124	C&S MENZIES 1771	10		115
125	HCR 634	12		114
126	WB 2715	5		114
127	HCR 711	6		113
128	ECKHOFF 212	4		113
129	BRADFORD 0009	4		113
130	S MENZIES 934	6		113
131	C&S MENZIES 2887	4		113
132	WB 2233	4		112
133	HCR 504	4		112

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Sires Ranked for 140-day ADG-body

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2016.

Rank	Sire	Sons		EBV
1	C&S MENZIES 2532	26		.879
2	R-J 5064	10		.849
3	TAES 8762	17	*	.847
4	B FARIS 388	14		.847
5	TAES 6014	7		.846
6	Keyes 202	5	*	.843
7	R-J 2669	7		.840
8	TAES 6483	5		.836
9	HCR 622	48		.836
10	C&S MENZIES 1325	11		.835
11	R-J 192	19		.833
12	TRSG 310	7		.833
13	TAES 6880	6		.832
14	B FARIS 253	4		.832
15	P ROSE R4167	6		.832
16	TRSG 1377	5		.831
17	TAES 8582	5		.831
18	S MENZIES 865	12		.831
19	SCHUNKE 317	8		.830
20	RABEL143 WYOMING	5		.830
21	TAES 8656	10	*	.830
22	B FARIS 325	11		.829
23	M JERNIGAN 3683	7		.829
24	R-J 3188	71		.829
25	OFP 809	7		.828
26	TAES 5795	11		.828
27	TAES B3252	22		.828
28	TAES 7610	9		.827
29	TAES 6724	6		.827
30	C&S MENZIES 2464	27		.826
31	S MENZIES 866	7		.826
32	C&S MENZIES 3113	12		.825
33	P ROSE R3317	4		.825
34	T.JONES 1-83	11		.825
35	TAES 6439	6		.824
36	TAES 6099	12		.824
37	GAINER 01119	9		.824
38	TAES 8468	5		.824
39	LANDERS J511	5		.823
40	TAES 8036	4		.822
41	TRSG 298	25		.822
42	P ROSE R3763	7		.820
43	S MENZIES 801	4		.819
44	HCR 634	12		.819
45	JENNINGS 77	4		.819
46	HAGEMAN 3051	6		.819
47	P ROSE R3645	8		.818
48	ERK 7170	4		.818
49	C&S MENZIES 2720	5		.818
50	W Fincher 142	5		.818
51	SCHUNKE 2388	5		.817
52	TRSG 881	7		.816
53	R-J 243	18		.816
54	TAES 7824	5		.815
55	WB 2402	5		.813
56	TAES B3167	16		.813
57	B FARIS 242	5		.813
58	OFP 956	7		.812
59	TAES B2202	10		.811
60	TRSG 773	20		.811
61	TAES 6808	12		.811
62	B FARIS 392	25		.811
63	WB 2365	4		.811
64	HCR 711	6		.810
65	TAES 6648	6		.810
66	TAES 7118	16		.810
67	C&S MENZIES 3138	8		.810
68	TAES 6574	4		.809
69	TAES 6090	11		.809
70	JWR 557-978640	7		.809
71	TAES 7570	38		.809
72	TAES 6987	27		.809
73	TAES B2365	4		.809
74	ECKHOFF 212	4		.808
75	TAES 8778	10	*	.807
76	CAMPBELL 4047	5		.806
77	TAES B3403	12		.805
78	TAES 7693	4		.804
79	TAES 7003	12		.803
80	TAES 8117	4		.802
81	TAES 5678	4		.801
82	P ROSE R3653	7		.801
83	FINCHER 1407	9		.800
84	TRSG 1008	7		.800
85	C&S MENZIES 2626	15		.800
86	OFP 829	13		.800
87	M JERNIGAN 2367	15		.799
88	TAES 8896	5		.799
89	CLARK 156	4		.799
90	SCHUNKE 1878	13		.798
91	WB 2715	5		.798
92	R-J 6140	30		.797
93	TAES 5034	4		.796
94	HCR 504	4		.796
95	M JERNIGAN 5650	5		.796
96	M JERNIGAN 6968	12		.795
97	P ROSE R4407	6		.795
98	S MENZIES 934	6		.794
99	WB 2233	4		.794
100	C&S MENZIES 1833	14		.794
101	M JERNIGAN 5715	4		.794
102	TRSG 902	10		.793
103	TRSG 1610	4		.793
104	P ROSE R3557	17		.793
105	TRSG 1223	5		.793
106	C&S MENZIES 2454	4		.793
107	OFP 656	10		.792
108	P ROSE R3761	15		.792
109	Schunke 3447	11	*	.792
110	TAES B2863	7		.791
111	TAES 5501	8		.790
112	TAES B2452	7		.788
113	ASU 4084	7		.788
114	TRSG 953	8		.787
115	CAMPBELL 5399	10		.786
116	ECKHOFF 0400	7		.786
117	BRADFORD 0242	4		.786
118	TAES B2888	25		.785
119	BRADFORD 0009	4		.781
120	P ROSE R3297	14		.781
121	TAES 8287	24		.780
122	T.JONES 448	14		.779
123	TAES 7363	14		.778
124	TAES 8803	11		.775
125	TRSG 753	9		.775
126	MSC 1415	4		.775
127	TLB	4		.773
128	R-758	5		.771
129	SCHUNKE 1992	6		.771
130	T.JONES 545	5		.770
131	P ROSE R3865	10		.769
132	T.JONES 8-21	10		.766
133	C&S MENZIES 2887	4		.764
134	TAES 7171	13		.762
135	Price 1245	5		.756
136	C&S MENZIES 1771	10		.752

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Sires Ranked for Staple Length

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2016.

Rank	Sire	Sons		EBV
1	P ROSE R3865	10		5.68
2	P ROSE R3297	14		5.63
3	R-J 6140	30		5.54
4	P ROSE R3557	17		5.52
5	P ROSE R3653	7		5.49
6	R-J 192	19		5.49
7	R-J 3188	71		5.45
8	M JERNIGAN 6968	12		5.44
9	OFP 956	7		5.42
10	P ROSE R3761	15		5.41
11	TAES B2863	7		5.41
12	TAES B3403	12		5.40
13	P ROSE R4407	6		5.39
14	OFP 809	7		5.39
15	TAES B2888	25		5.39
16	TRSG 902	10		5.38
17	TAES B3252	22		5.36
18	TRSG 1610	4		5.35
19	TAES 7363	14		5.34
20	TAES B3167	16		5.33
21	M JERNIGAN 2367	15		5.32
22	TAES 6648	6		5.32
23	TAES 7610	9		5.31
24	OFP 656	10		5.31
25	OFP 829	13		5.29
26	TAES B2452	7		5.29
27	TAES 6099	12		5.29
28	TAES 5501	8		5.29
29	TAES 7693	4		5.29
30	LANDERS J511	5		5.27
31	P ROSE R3763	7		5.27
32	TAES 6090	11		5.27
33	P ROSE R4167	6		5.27
34	R-758	5		5.27
35	TAES 8287	24		5.27
36	R-J 5064	10		5.26
37	TAES 7570	38		5.25
38	CAMPBELL 5399	10		5.25
39	TAES 8468	5		5.25
40	TAES 8656	10	*	5.24
41	TAES 8803	11		5.23
42	TAES 8582	5		5.23
43	SCHUNKE 1992	6		5.22
44	TRSG 1377	5		5.21
45	B FARIS 253	4		5.20
46	TAES 6483	5		5.20
47	TAES B2365	4		5.19
48	M JERNIGAN 5715	4		5.19
49	R-J 2669	7		5.19
50	TAES 8778	10	*	5.18
51	M JERNIGAN 5650	5		5.18
52	TAES 6808	12		5.18
53	TRSG 753	9		5.17
54	TAES 8896	5		5.17
55	TAES 7824	5		5.17
56	CAMPBELL 4047	5		5.17
57	P ROSE R3645	8		5.16
58	TLB	4		5.16
59	Schunke 3447	11	*	5.16
60	W Fincher 142	5		5.16
61	TAES 8762	17	*	5.15
62	TRSG 1008	7		5.15
63	TAES 8117	4		5.15
64	R-J 243	18		5.15
65	B FARIS 242	5		5.15
66	TRSG 310	7		5.14
67	SCHUNKE 317	8		5.13
68	TAES 6574	4		5.13
69	B FARIS 388	14		5.12
70	TAES 7118	16		5.12
71	WB 2402	5		5.12
72	M JERNIGAN 3683	7		5.12
73	GAINER 01119	9		5.12
74	SCHUNKE 2388	5		5.11
75	T.JONES 1-83	11		5.11
76	P ROSE R3317	4		5.11
77	TRSG 1223	5		5.11
78	TRSG 773	20		5.10
79	TRSG 881	7		5.10
80	Price 1245	5		5.09
81	C&S MENZIES 2454	4		5.09
82	TAES 6014	7		5.08
83	TAES 6987	27		5.08
84	ASU 4084	7		5.08
85	S MENZIES 801	4		5.08
86	TAES 8036	4		5.08
87	C&S MENZIES 2626	15		5.08
88	HCR 622	48		5.08
89	MSC 1415	4		5.07
90	TAES B2202	10		5.06
91	CLARK 156	4		5.06
92	C&S MENZIES 1833	14		5.06
93	C&S MENZIES 2720	5		5.06
94	JENNINGS 77	4		5.05
95	B FARIS 392	25		5.05
96	TAES 6439	6		5.04
97	HAGEMAN 3051	6		5.04
98	ECKHOFF 0400	7		5.04
99	T.JONES 448	14		5.03
100	C&S MENZIES 1771	10		5.03
101	TAES 5034	4		5.03
102	TRSG 953	8		5.02
103	SCHUNKE 1878	13		5.02
104	TAES 6880	6		5.02
105	JWR 557-978640	7		5.01
106	TAES 7171	13		5.01
107	S MENZIES 866	7		5.00
108	TAES 6724	6		5.00
109	T.JONES 545	5		4.99
110	T.JONES 8-21	10		4.99
111	BRADFORD 0242	4		4.99
112	BRADFORD 0009	4		4.99
113	TAES 5795	11		4.98
114	C&S MENZIES 1325	11		4.96
115	TAES 5678	4		4.96
116	WB 2365	4		4.96
117	TRSG 298	25		4.96
118	B FARIS 325	11		4.96
119	HCR 634	12		4.94
120	S MENZIES 865	12		4.94
121	C&S MENZIES 2887	4		4.93
122	RABEL143 WYOMING	5		4.93
123	C&S MENZIES 2464	27		4.92
124	TAES 7003	12		4.92
125	C&S MENZIES 3138	8		4.90
126	ERK 7170	4		4.90
127	Keyes 202	5	*	4.90
128	C&S MENZIES 2532	26		4.89
129	S MENZIES 934	6		4.88
130	ECKHOFF 212	4		4.88
131	WB 2233	4		4.85
132	HCR 711	6		4.84
133	C&S MENZIES 3113	12		4.83
134	WB 2715	5		4.83
135	HCR 504	4		4.81
136	FINCHER 1407	9		4.79

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Sires Ranked for Clean Fleece Wt

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2016

Rank	Sire	Sons		EBV
1	R-J 6140	30		14.9
2	R-J 3188	71		14.4
3	R-J 192	19		13.9
4	OFP 956	7		13.6
5	OFP 656	10		13.6
6	R-J 5064	10		13.5
7	TAES B2863	7		13.4
8	OFP 829	13		13.3
9	M JERNIGAN 2367	15		13.2
10	M JERNIGAN 6968	12		13.1
11	TAES 6483	5		12.9
12	P ROSE R3763	7		12.9
13	TRSG 773	20		12.9
14	P ROSE R3297	14		12.9
15	P ROSE R4167	6		12.8
16	TAES 7570	38		12.8
17	P ROSE R3865	10		12.8
18	LANDERS J511	5		12.7
19	TAES 8896	5		12.7
20	TAES 6808	12		12.7
21	TAES B3167	16		12.7
22	TAES B2202	10		12.7
23	TAES B2888	25		12.7
24	P ROSE R3653	7		12.7
25	TAES 5501	8		12.7
26	TAES 6099	12		12.6
27	TAES 7693	4		12.6
28	TAES 8468	5		12.6
29	P ROSE R3557	17		12.6
30	TRSG 310	7		12.5
31	TAES 7610	9		12.5
32	TAES 7363	14		12.5
33	TRSG 1610	4		12.4
34	OFP 809	7		12.4
35	M JERNIGAN 5715	4		12.4
36	TAES 8778	10	*	12.4
37	TAES 6090	11		12.4
38	TAES 7118	16		12.4
39	Schunke 3447	11	*	12.4
40	W Fincher 142	5		12.4
41	C&S MENZIES 1325	11		12.3
42	TAES 6880	6		12.3
43	M JERNIGAN 3683	7		12.3
44	M JERNIGAN 5650	5		12.3
45	Price 1245	5		12.3
46	TRSG 902	10		12.2
47	C&S MENZIES 2720	5		12.2
48	TAES 7824	5		12.2
49	T.JONES 448	14		12.2
50	TAES B3252	22		12.2
51	TAES 7171	13		12.2
52	TAES 8582	5		12.2
53	B FARIS 253	4		12.2
54	R-J 2669	7		12.2
55	TAES 8656	10	*	12.2
56	TRSG 881	7		12.2
57	P ROSE R3645	8		12.2
58	TRSG 753	9		12.1
59	C&S MENZIES 2626	15		12.1
60	TRSG 953	8		12.1
61	TAES 6724	6		12.1
62	P ROSE R4407	6		12.1
63	HAGEMAN 3051	6		12.0
64	TAES B3403	12		12.0
65	MSC 1415	4		12.0
66	B FARIS 388	14		12.0
67	TRSG 1377	5		12.0
68	P ROSE R3317	4		12.0
69	SCHUNKE 2388	5		12.0
70	C&S MENZIES 1833	14		12.0
71	TAES 8762	17	*	12.0
72	TAES 8803	11		12.0
73	SCHUNKE 317	8		12.0
74	CAMPBELL 5399	10		12.0
75	R-758	5		11.9
76	GAINER 01119	9		11.9
77	TAES 6439	6		11.9
78	TRSG 1223	5		11.9
79	TAES 6648	6		11.9
80	TRSG 1008	7		11.9
81	T.JONES 545	5		11.9
82	TAES 8117	4		11.9
83	TAES B2452	7		11.9
84	TAES 8287	24		11.9
85	HCR 622	48		11.9
86	P ROSE R3761	15		11.9
87	C&S MENZIES 2464	27		11.8
88	SCHUNKE 1992	6		11.8
89	ERK 7170	4		11.8
90	TAES 6574	4		11.8
91	S MENZIES 801	4		11.8
92	R-J 243	18		11.8
93	TAES 5678	4		11.7
94	C&S MENZIES 2532	26		11.7
95	TAES 8036	4		11.7
96	CAMPBELL 4047	5		11.7
97	TRSG 298	25		11.7
98	Keyes 202	5	*	11.7
99	TAES 5795	11		11.7
100	S MENZIES 866	7		11.7
101	TAES 6014	7		11.6
102	TAES B2365	4		11.6
103	C&S MENZIES 1771	10		11.6
104	C&S MENZIES 2454	4		11.6
105	TAES 6987	27		11.6
106	RABEL143 WYOMING	5		11.5
107	B FARIS 325	11		11.5
108	TAES 5034	4		11.5
109	B FARIS 392	25		11.5
110	SCHUNKE 1878	13		11.5
111	BRADFORD 0242	4		11.5
112	WB 2402	5		11.4
113	ASU 4084	7		11.4
114	TAES 7003	12		11.3
115	JENNINGS 77	4		11.3
116	ECKHOFF 0400	7		11.3
117	JWR 557-978640	7		11.3
118	B FARIS 242	5		11.3
119	WB 2715	5		11.3
120	CLARK 156	4		11.2
121	ECKHOFF 212	4		11.2
122	FINCHER 1407	9		11.2
123	C&S MENZIES 2887	4		11.2
124	C&S MENZIES 3138	8		11.2
125	WB 2365	4		11.2
126	T.JONES 1-83	11		11.1
127	BRADFORD 0009	4		11.1
128	TLB	4		11.0
129	T.JONES 8-21	10		11.0
130	HCR 504	4		10.9
131	WB 2233	4		10.8
132	C&S MENZIES 3113	12		10.8
133	S MENZIES 865	12		10.7
134	HCR 711	6		10.5
135	S MENZIES 934	6		10.5
136	HCR 634	12		10.3

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Sires Ranked for Fiber Diam. (core)

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2016.

Rank	Sire	Sons		EBV
1	TAES 8656	10	*	20.7
2	TAES 8287	24		20.9
3	TAES 8803	11		21.0
4	TAES 8117	4		21.1
5	TAES 8762	17	*	21.1
6	C&S MENZIES 2464	27		21.1
7	TAES 6014	7		21.1
8	TAES 6090	11		21.2
9	TAES 6808	12		21.3
10	P ROSE R3653	7		21.3
11	TAES B3167	16		21.3
12	P ROSE R3865	10		21.3
13	TAES 5795	11		21.3
14	P ROSE R3297	14		21.3
15	TAES B3252	22		21.3
16	P ROSE R3557	17		21.3
17	TAES 6987	27		21.3
18	TAES B3403	12		21.4
19	TAES 7824	5		21.4
20	T.JONES 1-83	11		21.4
21	TAES 8036	4		21.4
22	TAES 6574	4		21.4
23	TAES 7610	9		21.5
24	T.JONES 448	14		21.5
25	OFP 809	7		21.5
26	P ROSE R4407	6		21.5
27	TAES B2888	25		21.5
28	TAES 5034	4		21.5
29	TAES 8468	5		21.5
30	TAES B2452	7		21.5
31	TAES 6099	12		21.5
32	TLB	4		21.6
33	P ROSE R3645	8		21.6
34	TAES 8778	10	*	21.6
35	TAES 6439	6		21.6
36	RABEL143 WYOMING	5		21.6
37	Schunke 3447	11	*	21.6
38	CLARK 156	4		21.7
39	TAES 6880	6		21.7
40	C&S MENZIES 2887	4		21.7
41	WB 2402	5		21.7
42	C&S MENZIES 1325	11		21.7
43	TAES 7171	13		21.7
44	HCR 711	6		21.7
45	TAES 5501	8		21.7
46	TAES 6483	5		21.7
47	B FARIS 253	4		21.7
48	TAES 7363	14		21.8
49	TAES 8582	5		21.8
50	OFP 829	13		21.8
51	FINCHER 1407	9		21.8
52	TAES 7570	38		21.8
53	OFP 956	7		21.8
54	TAES 7003	12		21.8
55	TAES B2365	4		21.8
56	B FARIS 325	11		21.8
57	HAGEMAN 3051	6		21.8
58	TAES 5678	4		21.9
59	TRSG 902	10		21.9
60	HCR 634	12		21.9
61	C&S MENZIES 2626	15		21.9
62	M JERNIGAN 3683	7		21.9
63	TAES B2202	10		21.9
64	C&S MENZIES 3138	8		21.9
65	TAES 6648	6		21.9
66	W Fincher 142	5		21.9
67	C&S MENZIES 3113	12		22.0
68	TRSG 753	9		22.0
69	C&S MENZIES 2532	26		22.0
70	C&S MENZIES 1771	10		22.0
71	JWR 557-978640	7		22.0
72	B FARIS 242	5		22.0
73	P ROSE R3761	15		22.0
74	TAES 7693	4		22.0
75	OFP 656	10		22.0
76	P ROSE R3763	7		22.0
77	S MENZIES 934	6		22.0
78	SCHUNKE 317	8		22.0
79	TRSG 1008	7		22.1
80	HCR 504	4		22.1
81	TAES 7118	16		22.1
82	T.JONES 8-21	10		22.1
83	JENNINGS 77	4		22.1
84	TRSG 1223	5		22.1
85	B FARIS 388	14		22.1
86	TAES B2863	7		22.1
87	TRSG 773	20		22.1
88	CAMPBELL 4047	5		22.1
89	T.JONES 545	5		22.1
90	B FARIS 392	25		22.1
91	R-J 3188	71		22.2
92	TRSG 881	7		22.2
93	TRSG 1610	4		22.2
94	ASU 4084	7		22.2
95	R-J 243	18		22.2
96	TRSG 298	25		22.2
97	M JERNIGAN 2367	15		22.2
98	M JERNIGAN 5715	4		22.2
99	CAMPBELL 5399	10		22.2
100	LANDERS J511	5		22.3
101	BRADFORD 0242	4		22.3
102	TRSG 310	7		22.3
103	HCR 622	48		22.3
104	M JERNIGAN 5650	5		22.3
105	WB 2233	4		22.3
106	TRSG 1377	5		22.3
107	SCHUNKE 1878	13		22.3
108	C&S MENZIES 2454	4		22.3
109	GAINER 01119	9		22.3
110	WB 2365	4		22.4
111	S MENZIES 865	12		22.4
112	SCHUNKE 2388	5		22.4
113	S MENZIES 866	7		22.4
114	TRSG 953	8		22.4
115	R-758	5		22.5
116	M JERNIGAN 6968	12		22.5
117	SCHUNKE 1992	6		22.5
118	P ROSE R3317	4		22.5
119	MSC 1415	4		22.5
120	BRADFORD 0009	4		22.5
121	R-J 192	19		22.6
122	WB 2715	5		22.6
123	C&S MENZIES 2720	5		22.6
124	ECKHOFF 0400	7		22.6
125	TAES 6724	6		22.7
126	R-J 6140	30		22.7
127	P ROSE R4167	6		22.7
128	C&S MENZIES 1833	14		22.7
129	TAES 8896	5		22.7
130	R-J 2669	7		22.7
131	Price 1245	5		22.7
132	ECKHOFF 212	4		22.9
133	Keyes 202	5	*	23.0

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Sires Ranked for Fiber Variability (CV)

* Designates sires with sons tested in 2016.

Rank	Sire	Sons		EBV
1	T.JONES 448	14		19.1
2	P ROSE R3865	10		19.1
3	TAES B2888	25		19.2
4	TRSG 1610	4		19.3
5	TAES 7171	13		19.3
6	HCR 622	48		19.3
7	T.JONES 8-21	10		19.4
8	TAES B2863	7		19.6
9	TAES B3403	12		19.6
10	R-J 6140	30		19.6
11	R-J 3188	71		19.6
12	M JERNIGAN 2367	15		19.6
13	C&S MENZIES 1325	11		19.6
14	R-J 192	19		19.7
15	HAGEMAN 3051	6		19.7
16	TAES 5795	11		19.7
17	OFP 956	7		19.7
18	TRSG 298	25		19.7
19	TAES B2452	7		19.8
20	C&S MENZIES 2464	27		19.8
21	TAES 6439	6		19.8
22	LANDERS J511	5		19.8
23	TAES 6090	11		19.9
24	TAES 8803	11		19.9
25	TRSG 773	20		19.9
26	TAES 5034	4		19.9
27	M JERNIGAN 5715	4		19.9
28	OFP 656	10		19.9
29	TAES 5501	8		19.9
30	SCHUNKE 2388	5		20.0
31	TAES 8778	10	*	20.0
32	B FARIS 325	11		20.0
33	TLB	4		20.0
34	OFP 809	7		20.0
35	MSC 1415	4		20.0
36	TRSG 753	9		20.0
37	TAES B3167	16		20.0
38	B FARIS 392	25		20.0
39	P ROSE R3297	14		20.1
40	ECKHOFF 0400	7		20.1
41	M JERNIGAN 5650	5		20.1
42	TAES 8656	10	*	20.1
43	BRADFORD 0242	4		20.1
44	JENNINGS 77	4		20.1
45	M JERNIGAN 6968	12		20.1
46	CAMPBELL 4047	5		20.1
47	M JERNIGAN 3683	7		20.1
48	TRSG 1223	5		20.1
49	TAES B3252	22		20.1
50	TAES 7824	5		20.1
51	P ROSE R3645	8		20.1
52	TAES 7118	16		20.1
53	TAES 6483	5		20.2
54	OFP 829	13		20.2
55	C&S MENZIES 1771	10		20.2
56	ASU 4084	7		20.2
57	TAES 8287	24		20.2
58	BRADFORD 0009	4		20.2
59	TAES 7570	38		20.2
60	Schunke 3447	11	*	20.2
61	TAES 7610	9		20.2
62	TRSG 310	7		20.3
63	WB 2365	4		20.3
64	TAES 8468	5		20.3
65	TAES 6014	7		20.3
66	P ROSE R4407	6		20.3
67	C&S MENZIES 3138	8		20.3
68	TAES 6099	12		20.3
69	TAES 8117	4		20.3
70	TAES 8762	17	*	20.3
71	TAES B2202	10		20.3
72	WB 2233	4		20.3
73	TAES 7693	4		20.3
74	TAES 7003	12		20.3
75	SCHUNKE 1878	13		20.3
76	SCHUNKE 1992	6		20.3
77	TAES 8582	5		20.4
78	TAES 8896	5		20.4
79	B FARIS 388	14		20.4
80	TAES 6987	27		20.4
81	SCHUNKE 317	8		20.4
82	C&S MENZIES 2626	15		20.4
83	GAINER 01119	9		20.4
84	R-J 243	18		20.4
85	P ROSE R4167	6		20.4
86	Price 1245	5		20.4
87	S MENZIES 866	7		20.4
88	C&S MENZIES 2720	5		20.4
89	TAES 6808	12		20.4
90	C&S MENZIES 3113	12		20.4
91	B FARIS 253	4		20.4
92	CAMPBELL 5399	10		20.4
93	P ROSE R3557	17		20.4
94	TAES B2365	4		20.4
95	TRSG 1008	7		20.4
96	W Fincher 142	5		20.4
97	S MENZIES 934	6		20.5
98	TAES 7363	14		20.5
99	CLARK 156	4		20.5
100	S MENZIES 865	12		20.5
101	TAES 8036	4		20.5
102	P ROSE R3761	15		20.6
103	TAES 6574	4		20.6
104	P ROSE R3317	4		20.6
105	TAES 6724	6		20.6
106	C&S MENZIES 1833	14		20.6
107	WB 2715	5		20.6
108	T.JONES 1-83	11		20.6
109	P ROSE R3653	7		20.7
110	TRSG 881	7		20.7
111	ECKHOFF 212	4		20.7
112	P ROSE R3763	7		20.7
113	RABEL143 WYOMING	5		20.8
114	B FARIS 242	5		20.8
115	WB 2402	5		20.8
116	TRSG 953	8		20.9
117	TAES 5678	4		20.9
118	C&S MENZIES 2532	26		20.9
119	JWR 557-978640	7		20.9
120	Keyes 202	5	*	21.0
121	TAES 6648	6		21.0
122	R-J 2669	7		21.0
123	TRSG 1377	5		21.1
124	TRSG 902	10		21.1
125	HCR 634	12		21.2
126	FINCHER 1407	9		21.2
127	C&S MENZIES 2454	4		21.2
128	HCR 711	6		21.2
129	HCR 504	4		21.2
130	TAES 6880	6		21.2
131	C&S MENZIES 2887	4		21.3
132	T.JONES 545	5		21.4
133	R-758	5		21.5

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Sire Summary of Ram Test Performance (1995-2016)
Alphabetical listing of sires

The following pages list all sires with 4 or more tested sons. Sires are listed in alphabetical order by the breeder prefix. The breeding values are expressed as a deviation from a base. The base was the average performance on test from 1995 to 2000. Therefore, animals with a value of zero are average for this group of rams. A value of .5 in the fleece weight column indicates that this ram is .5 lbs better than the base for clean fleece weight. A value of -.5 in the fleece weight column indicates that he is .5 lbs below the base. The values can be used to make comparisons of different sires. As an example, consider TAES 6090 shown below. He had 11 tested sons. His breeding value for the ROM index is 7.7, indicating that he is 7.7 points better than the base. Immediately below the 7.7 is his rank (7) for ROM index value among all rams with 4 or more tested sons. His ADG value is .002 which indicates that he was slightly above average. His rank is 69, which is near the middle of the 135 rams in the list. A breeding value and rank are shown for each of the 6 traits. TAES 6090 is a very fine ram (rank of 8, but he is near average for growth rate. Sons of this ram would be of interest to ram buyers that want to improve their wool quality in a flock that already has a desirable growth rate. If a producer wants to improve growth rate in a flock, rams with a high breeding value for ADG should be considered.

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
TAES 6090	11	7.7	0.002	0.17	0.50	-0.76	-0.43
TAES 6090	Rank ->	7	69	32	37	8	23

Several rams have a blank value for ROM index, Fiber Diameter and Fiber CV. These rams had sons tested in 1997 only and in 1997 a core sample from the final fleece was not obtained. Fiber diameter was only determined from the side and britch samples in 1997. A core sample is not directly comparable to a side sample in this situation. So the wool quality measures of rams that had sons tested only in 1997 are not directly comparable to the rest of the rams. Because the wool quality measures are a part of the ROM index calculations, the ROM index values for these rams were also excluded.

Base values :

ROM Index	121.0
ADG	.807 lb/d
Staple Length	5.1 inches
Clean Fleece Wt	11.9 lbs
Fiber Diameter	22.0 microns
Fiber CV	20.3

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2016

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
ASU 4084	7	-4.1	-.019	-0.02	-0.50	0.19	-0.10
ASU 4084	Rank	->111	113	84	113	94	56
B FARIS 242	5	-2.7	0.006	0.05	-0.63	-0.03	0.54
B FARIS 242	Rank	->102	57	65	118	72	114
B FARIS 253	4	4.6	0.025	0.10	0.27	-0.25	0.12
B FARIS 253	Rank	-> 34	14	45	53	47	91
B FARIS 325	11	1.6	0.022	-0.14	-0.41	-0.16	-0.30
B FARIS 325	Rank	-> 61	22	118	107	56	32
B FARIS 388	14	3.3	0.040	0.02	0.11	0.11	0.06
B FARIS 388	Rank	-> 49	4	69	66	85	79
B FARIS 392	25	-1.7	0.004	-0.05	-0.43	0.14	-0.25
B FARIS 392	Rank	-> 98	62	95	109	90	38
BRADFORD 0009	4	-7.9	-.026	-0.11	-0.83	0.54	-0.09
BRADFORD 0009	Rank	->129	119	112	127	120	58
BRADFORD 0242	4	-4.6	-.021	-0.11	-0.45	0.27	-0.21
BRADFORD 0242	Rank	->113	117	111	111	101	43
C&S MENZIES 1325	11	6.6	0.028	-0.14	0.41	-0.28	-0.67
C&S MENZIES 1325	Rank	-> 15	10	114	41	42	13
C&S MENZIES 1771	10	-6.3	-.055	-0.07	-0.34	-0.04	-0.10
C&S MENZIES 1771	Rank	->124	136	100	103	70	55
C&S MENZIES 1833	14	-3.0	-.013	-0.04	0.09	0.69	0.33
C&S MENZIES 1833	Rank	->104	100	92	70	128	106
C&S MENZIES 2454	4	-5.4	-.014	-0.01	-0.34	0.34	0.86
C&S MENZIES 2454	Rank	->119	106	81	104	108	127
C&S MENZIES 2464	27	4.0	0.019	-0.18	-0.07	-0.87	-0.52
C&S MENZIES 2464	Rank	-> 43	30	123	87	6	20
C&S MENZIES 2532	26	3.4	0.072	-0.21	-0.18	-0.04	0.59
C&S MENZIES 2532	Rank	-> 46	1	128	94	69	118
C&S MENZIES 2626	15	0.8	-.007	-0.02	0.21	-0.11	0.06
C&S MENZIES 2626	Rank	-> 74	85	87	59	61	82
C&S MENZIES 2720	5	0.5	0.011	-0.04	0.32	0.63	0.10
C&S MENZIES 2720	Rank	-> 75	49	93	47	123	88
C&S MENZIES 2887	4	-8.4	-.043	-0.17	-0.72	-0.30	1.01
C&S MENZIES 2887	Rank	->131	133	121	123	40	131
C&S MENZIES 3113	12	-4.0	0.018	-0.27	-1.13	-0.05	0.12
C&S MENZIES 3113	Rank	->109	32	133	132	67	90
C&S MENZIES 3138	8	-3.0	0.003	-0.20	-0.72	-0.08	-0.02
C&S MENZIES 3138	Rank	->105	67	125	124	64	67
CAMPBELL 4047	5	-0.4	-.001	0.07	-0.19	0.14	-0.20
CAMPBELL 4047	Rank	-> 85	76	56	96	88	46
CAMPBELL 5399	10	-1.8	-.021	0.15	0.06	0.24	0.14
CAMPBELL 5399	Rank	-> 99	115	38	74	99	92
CLARK 156	4	-3.7	-.008	-0.04	-0.68	-0.35	0.20
CLARK 156	Rank	->107	89	91	120	38	99
ECKHOFF 0400	7	-5.2	-.021	-0.06	-0.62	0.64	-0.22
ECKHOFF 0400	Rank	->117	116	98	116	124	40
ECKHOFF 212	4	-7.7	0.001	-0.22	-0.70	0.89	0.37
ECKHOFF 212	Rank	->128	74	130	121	132	111
ERK 7170	4	.	0.011	-0.20	-0.08	.	.
ERK 7170	Rank	-> .	48	126	89	.	.

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2016

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
FINCHER 1407	9	-5.6	-.007	-0.31	-0.70	-0.21	0.86
FINCHER 1407	Rank	->121	83	136	122	51	126
GAINER 01119	9	1.1	0.017	0.02	0.02	0.34	0.06
GAINER 01119	Rank	-> 69	37	73	76	109	83
HAGEMAN 3051	6	3.3	0.012	-0.06	0.12	-0.16	-0.63
HAGEMAN 3051	Rank	-> 48	46	97	63	57	15
HCR 504	4	-8.9	-.011	-0.29	-1.03	0.06	0.88
HCR 504	Rank	->133	94	135	130	80	129
HCR 622	48	2.4	0.029	-0.02	-0.05	0.31	-0.97
HCR 622	Rank	-> 57	9	88	85	103	6
HCR 634	12	-6.7	0.012	-0.16	-1.63	-0.11	0.85
HCR 634	Rank	->125	44	119	136	60	125
HCR 711	6	-7.6	0.003	-0.26	-1.43	-0.27	0.88
HCR 711	Rank	->127	64	132	134	44	128
JENNINGS 77	4	-1.4	0.012	-0.05	-0.60	0.09	-0.21
JENNINGS 77	Rank	-> 95	45	94	115	83	44
JWR 557-978640	7	-4.1	0.002	-0.09	-0.62	-0.04	0.62
JWR 557-978640	Rank	->110	70	105	117	71	119
Keyes 202	5*	-1.8	0.036	-0.20	-0.23	0.99	0.66
Keyes 202	Rank	->100	6	127	98	133	120
LANDERS J511	5	5.5	0.016	0.17	0.83	0.25	-0.49
LANDERS J511	Rank	-> 25	39	30	18	100	22
M JERNIGAN 2367	15	5.8	-.008	0.22	1.29	0.23	-0.68
M JERNIGAN 2367	Rank	-> 23	87	21	9	97	12
M JERNIGAN 3683	7	3.9	0.022	0.02	0.37	-0.09	-0.20
M JERNIGAN 3683	Rank	-> 44	23	72	43	62	47
M JERNIGAN 5650	5	0.4	-.011	0.08	0.36	0.32	-0.22
M JERNIGAN 5650	Rank	-> 77	95	51	44	104	41
M JERNIGAN 5715	4	1.4	-.013	0.09	0.52	0.24	-0.39
M JERNIGAN 5715	Rank	-> 63	101	48	35	98	27
M JERNIGAN 6968	12	4.1	-.012	0.34	1.19	0.48	-0.21
M JERNIGAN 6968	Rank	-> 41	96	8	10	116	45
MSC 1415	4	-3.7	-.032	-0.03	0.11	0.53	-0.27
MSC 1415	Rank	->106	126	89	65	119	35
OFP 656	10	7.9	-.015	0.21	1.67	-0.02	-0.37
OFP 656	Rank	-> 6	107	24	5	75	28
OFP 809	7	6.9	0.021	0.29	0.52	-0.51	-0.28
OFP 809	Rank	-> 12	25	14	34	25	34
OFP 829	13	7.2	-.007	0.19	1.36	-0.22	-0.14
OFP 829	Rank	-> 10	86	25	8	50	54
OFP 956	7	10.5	0.005	0.32	1.69	-0.19	-0.57
OFP 956	Rank	-> 4	58	9	4	53	17
P ROSE R3297	14	7.3	-.026	0.53	0.99	-0.70	-0.24
P ROSE R3297	Rank	-> 9	120	2	14	14	39
P ROSE R3317	4	0.2	0.018	0.01	0.10	0.53	0.31
P ROSE R3317	Rank	-> 80	33	76	68	118	104
P ROSE R3557	17	6.2	-.014	0.42	0.71	-0.68	0.14
P ROSE R3557	Rank	-> 19	104	4	29	16	93
P ROSE R3645	8	4.4	0.011	0.06	0.25	-0.44	-0.17
P ROSE R3645	Rank	-> 35	47	57	57	33	51

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2016

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
P ROSE R3653	7	4.2	-.006	0.39	0.78	-0.73	0.37
P ROSE R3653	Rank ->	39	82	5	24	10	109
P ROSE R3761	15	-0.9	-.015	0.31	-0.06	-0.03	0.29
P ROSE R3761	Rank ->	93	108	10	86	73	102
P ROSE R3763	7	6.3	0.013	0.17	1.00	-0.01	0.41
P ROSE R3763	Rank ->	17	42	31	12	76	112
P ROSE R3865	10	5.0	-.038	0.58	0.84	-0.72	-1.16
P ROSE R3865	Rank ->	28	131	1	17	12	2
P ROSE R4167	6	4.9	0.025	0.17	0.93	0.69	0.07
P ROSE R4167	Rank ->	31	15	33	15	127	85
P ROSE R4407	6	1.9	-.012	0.29	0.16	-0.49	-0.02
P ROSE R4407	Rank ->	59	97	13	62	26	66
Price 1245	5	-5.2	-.051	-0.01	0.34	0.74	0.08
Price 1245	Rank ->	116	135	80	45	131	86
R-758	5	-5.7	-.036	0.17	0.03	0.46	1.16
R-758	Rank ->	122	128	34	75	115	133
R-J 192	19	11.0	0.026	0.39	2.02	0.56	-0.65
R-J 192	Rank ->	3	11	6	3	121	14
R-J 243	18	0.3	0.009	0.05	-0.15	0.22	0.07
R-J 243	Rank ->	78	53	64	92	95	84
R-J 2669	7	-0.6	0.033	0.09	0.27	0.74	0.73
R-J 2669	Rank ->	88	7	49	54	130	122
R-J 3188	71	11.3	0.022	0.35	2.45	0.17	-0.68
R-J 3188	Rank ->	2	24	7	2	91	11
R-J 5064	10	.	0.042	0.16	1.63	.	.
R-J 5064	Rank ->	.	2	36	6	.	.
R-J 6140	30	12.6	-.010	0.44	2.96	0.68	-0.69
R-J 6140	Rank ->	1	92	3	1	126	10
RABEL143 WYOMING	5	0.2	0.023	-0.17	-0.39	-0.37	0.51
RABEL143 WYOMING	Rank ->	81	20	122	106	36	113
S MENZIES 801	4	.	0.012	-0.02	-0.12	.	.
S MENZIES 801	Rank ->	.	43	85	91	.	.
S MENZIES 865	12	-5.5	0.024	-0.16	-1.23	0.38	0.21
S MENZIES 865	Rank ->	120	18	120	133	111	100
S MENZIES 866	7	-1.4	0.019	-0.10	-0.24	0.42	0.08
S MENZIES 866	Rank ->	96	31	107	100	113	87
S MENZIES 934	6	-7.9	-.013	-0.22	-1.44	-0.01	0.17
S MENZIES 934	Rank ->	130	98	129	135	77	97
SCHUNKE 1878	13	-3.8	-.009	-0.08	-0.44	0.34	0.02
SCHUNKE 1878	Rank ->	108	90	103	110	107	75
SCHUNKE 1992	6	-4.8	-.036	0.12	-0.08	0.48	0.04
SCHUNKE 1992	Rank ->	114	129	43	88	117	76
SCHUNKE 2388	5	1.3	0.010	0.01	0.10	0.41	-0.34
SCHUNKE 2388	Rank ->	65	51	74	69	112	30
SCHUNKE 317	8	2.8	0.023	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.06
SCHUNKE 317	Rank ->	53	19	67	73	78	81
Schunke 3447	11*	2.5	-.015	0.06	0.45	-0.37	-0.08
Schunke 3447	Rank ->	56	109	59	39	37	60
T.JONES 1-83	11	0.1	0.018	0.01	-0.79	-0.59	0.34
T.JONES 1-83	Rank ->	82	34	75	126	20	108

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2016

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
T.JONES 448	14	2.7	-.028	-0.07	0.31	-0.52	-1.22
T.JONES 448	Rank ->	54	122	99	49	24	1
T.JONES 545	5	-5.4	-.037	-0.11	-0.02	0.14	1.05
T.JONES 545	Rank ->	118	130	109	81	89	132
T.JONES 8-21	10	-6.3	-.041	-0.11	-0.91	0.08	-0.89
T.JONES 8-21	Rank ->	123	132	110	129	82	7
TAES 5034	4	-0.8	-.011	-0.07	-0.42	-0.48	-0.39
TAES 5034	Rank ->	92	93	101	108	28	26
TAES 5501	8	4.4	-.017	0.19	0.77	-0.27	-0.35
TAES 5501	Rank ->	37	111	28	25	45	29
TAES 5678	4	-0.7	-.006	-0.14	-0.17	-0.13	0.59
TAES 5678	Rank ->	91	81	115	93	58	117
TAES 5795	11	4.2	0.021	-0.12	-0.24	-0.71	-0.62
TAES 5795	Rank ->	38	26	113	99	13	16
TAES 6014	7	4.2	0.039	-0.02	-0.32	-0.85	-0.03
TAES 6014	Rank ->	40	5	82	101	7	65
TAES 6090	11	7.7	0.002	0.17	0.50	-0.76	-0.43
TAES 6090	Rank ->	7	69	32	37	8	23
TAES 6099	12	5.9	0.017	0.19	0.72	-0.45	-0.02
TAES 6099	Rank ->	22	36	27	26	31	68
TAES 6439	6	3.0	0.017	-0.06	0.02	-0.39	-0.49
TAES 6439	Rank ->	51	35	96	77	35	21
TAES 6483	5	8.9	0.029	0.10	1.02	-0.26	-0.14
TAES 6483	Rank ->	5	8	46	11	46	53
TAES 6574	4	1.3	0.002	0.03	-0.10	-0.55	0.30
TAES 6574	Rank ->	66	68	68	90	22	103
TAES 6648	6	0.0	0.003	0.22	-0.00	-0.06	0.71
TAES 6648	Rank ->	83	65	22	79	65	121
TAES 6724	6	-0.7	0.020	-0.10	0.16	0.67	0.33
TAES 6724	Rank ->	90	29	108	61	125	105
TAES 6808	12	6.7	0.004	0.08	0.80	-0.74	0.11
TAES 6808	Rank ->	13	61	52	20	9	89
TAES 6880	6	3.2	0.025	-0.08	0.39	-0.32	0.95
TAES 6880	Rank ->	50	13	104	42	39	130
TAES 6987	27	1.0	0.002	-0.02	-0.35	-0.66	0.06
TAES 6987	Rank ->	70	72	83	105	17	80
TAES 7003	12	-2.3	-.004	-0.18	-0.60	-0.19	0.02
TAES 7003	Rank ->	101	79	124	114	54	74
TAES 7118	16	2.8	0.003	0.02	0.47	0.06	-0.15
TAES 7118	Rank ->	52	66	70	38	81	52
TAES 7171	13	0.4	-.045	-0.09	0.29	-0.28	-1.01
TAES 7171	Rank ->	76	134	106	51	43	5
TAES 7363	14	1.8	-.029	0.24	0.57	-0.25	0.20
TAES 7363	Rank ->	60	123	19	32	48	98
TAES 7570	38	5.3	0.002	0.15	0.87	-0.19	-0.09
TAES 7570	Rank ->	27	71	37	16	52	59
TAES 7610	9	6.1	0.020	0.21	0.58	-0.53	-0.07
TAES 7610	Rank ->	20	28	23	31	23	61
TAES 7693	4	3.4	-.003	0.19	0.71	-0.02	0.02
TAES 7693	Rank ->	47	78	29	27	74	73

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2016

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
TAES 7824	5	4.6	0.008	0.07	0.31	-0.60	-0.18
TAES 7824	Rank ->	33	54	55	48	19	50
TAES 8036	4	2.1	0.015	-0.02	-0.19	-0.59	0.22
TAES 8036	Rank ->	58	40	86	95	21	101
TAES 8117	4	1.5	-.005	0.05	-0.02	-0.92	-0.01
TAES 8117	Rank ->	62	80	63	82	4	69
TAES 8287	24	1.4	-.027	0.17	-0.04	-1.07	-0.10
TAES 8287	Rank ->	64	121	35	84	2	57
TAES 8468	5	6.6	0.017	0.15	0.71	-0.47	-0.05
TAES 8468	Rank ->	14	38	39	28	29	64
TAES 8582	5	4.4	0.024	0.13	0.28	-0.22	0.05
TAES 8582	Rank ->	36	17	42	52	49	77
TAES 8656	10*	6.3	0.023	0.14	0.26	-1.29	-0.22
TAES 8656	Rank ->	18	21	40	55	1	42
TAES 8762	17*	6.5	0.040	0.05	0.09	-0.91	-0.01
TAES 8762	Rank ->	16	3	61	71	5	70
TAES 8778	10*	5.4	0.000	0.08	0.52	-0.43	-0.31
TAES 8778	Rank ->	26	75	50	36	34	31
TAES 8803	11	1.2	-.032	0.13	0.08	-0.97	-0.40
TAES 8803	Rank ->	68	124	41	72	3	24
TAES 8896	5	1.2	-.008	0.07	0.80	0.69	0.05
TAES 8896	Rank ->	67	88	54	19	129	78
TAES B2202	10	5.0	0.004	-0.04	0.78	-0.09	-0.00
TAES B2202	Rank ->	30	59	90	22	63	71
TAES B2365	4	-0.5	0.002	0.09	-0.32	-0.17	0.15
TAES B2365	Rank ->	87	73	47	102	55	94
TAES B2452	7	0.9	-.019	0.19	-0.03	-0.46	-0.52
TAES B2452	Rank ->	72	112	26	83	30	19
TAES B2863	7	7.0	-.016	0.31	1.50	0.13	-0.75
TAES B2863	Rank ->	11	110	11	7	86	8
TAES B2888	25	5.7	-.022	0.29	0.78	-0.48	-1.11
TAES B2888	Rank ->	24	118	15	23	27	3
TAES B3167	16	7.5	0.006	0.23	0.79	-0.73	-0.26
TAES B3167	Rank ->	8	56	20	21	11	37
TAES B3252	22	6.0	0.021	0.26	0.30	-0.70	-0.19
TAES B3252	Rank ->	21	27	17	50	15	49
TAES B3403	12	4.0	-.002	0.30	0.11	-0.61	-0.73
TAES B3403	Rank ->	42	77	12	64	18	9
TLB	4	-5.0	-.034	0.06	-0.86	-0.45	-0.29
TLB	Rank ->	115	127	58	128	32	33
TRSG 1008	7	-0.6	-.007	0.05	-0.01	0.05	0.15
TRSG 1008	Rank ->	89	84	62	80	79	95
TRSG 1223	5	-1.0	-.014	0.01	0.02	0.09	-0.20
TRSG 1223	Rank ->	94	105	77	78	84	48
TRSG 1377	5	0.9	0.024	0.11	0.11	0.34	0.78
TRSG 1377	Rank ->	73	16	44	67	106	123
TRSG 1610	4	2.6	-.014	0.25	0.52	0.18	-1.03
TRSG 1610	Rank ->	55	103	18	33	93	4
TRSG 298	25	0.3	0.015	-0.14	-0.22	0.23	-0.55
TRSG 298	Rank ->	79	41	117	97	96	18

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)

Texas A&M Sire Summary 1995-2016

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
TRSG 310	7	4.6	0.026	0.04	0.64	0.28	-0.05
TRSG 310	Rank ->	32	12	66	30	102	62
TRSG 753	9	-0.5	-.032	0.07	0.23	-0.05	-0.26
TRSG 753	Rank ->	86	125	53	58	68	36
TRSG 773	20	5.0	0.004	0.00	1.00	0.14	-0.40
TRSG 773	Rank ->	29	60	78	13	87	25
TRSG 881	7	1.0	0.009	0.00	0.25	0.18	0.37
TRSG 881	Rank ->	71	52	79	56	92	110
TRSG 902	10	-0.3	-.014	0.28	0.33	-0.12	0.82
TRSG 902	Rank ->	84	102	16	46	59	124
TRSG 953	8	-3.0	-.020	-0.08	0.20	0.44	0.58
TRSG 953	Rank ->	103	114	102	60	114	116
W Fincher 142	5	3.5	0.011	0.06	0.45	-0.05	0.15
W Fincher 142	Rank ->	45	50	60	40	66	96
WB 2233	4	-8.6	-.013	-0.25	-1.13	0.32	0.00
WB 2233	Rank ->	132	99	131	131	105	72
WB 2365	4	-4.3	0.004	-0.14	-0.72	0.37	-0.05
WB 2365	Rank ->	112	63	116	125	110	63
WB 2402	5	-1.6	0.006	0.02	-0.49	-0.29	0.54
WB 2402	Rank ->	97	55	71	112	41	115
WB 2715	5	-7.2	-.009	-0.27	-0.63	0.60	0.33
WB 2715	Rank ->	126	91	134	119	122	107

Sires with * following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.
Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2016)