

# Sire Summary of Ram Test Performance (1995-2017)

## Research Center Technical Report 2017-2

Texas A&M AgriLife Research - San Angelo  
Texas A&M System

### *Summary*

Performance data from the Texas A&M Ram Performance Tests from 1995 to 2017 were summarized by sire. The calculations used performance of all rams tested that had sire identified. This included 1776 rams from 351 different sires. To be included in the report, a sire must have had 4 or more sons with data, or have 3 sons with at least one of those sons on the current year's test. Evaluations based on 3 or fewer sons are less reliable. Substantial changes could occur in the rankings for rams with small numbers of sons if/when data from additional sons become available in future years. A mixed linear model was used to calculate the values. (For more details on how the numbers were calculated contact Dan Waldron).

### *Methods*

The Texas A&M Central Ram Performance Test has been conducted annually to provide a tool for sheep breeders to use in selecting breeding stock. The test's purpose is to identify genetic differences in performance of rams evaluated in the same environment. The test can be considered either as a performance test, where the emphasis is on evaluating the rams on test, or as a progeny test, where the emphasis is on evaluating the sires of the rams on test. This report focuses on the progeny test aspect.

In calculating this summary, the following assumptions were made: 1) all sires were mated to similar ewes, and 2) all sires have a representative sample of sons on test. If a particular sire was mated to genetically superior ewes, his evaluation will be biased upward. No pedigree or performance

information is collected on the ewes that produced the tested rams. So, even though it is known that all ewes are not equal, we must assume that all sires were mated to similar ewes.

If a breeder tests only the best sons of Sire A and merely average sons of sire B, Sire A's evaluation will be biased upward. Of course, it is not feasible to test the entire lamb crop of each sire in this test. Therefore, we assume that each sire group is a representative sample of sons.

Even though the information contained in this report has limitations, it is being presented so sheep breeders can make more informed selection decisions. If you need a ram whose daughters will produce finer fleeces, select from among the rams on test with fine fleeces and use these lists to select a ram from a sire that consistently produced finer-fleeced sons.

The ROM Index (shown on following page) was developed with the aim of combining growth rate, clean fleece weight, staple length, and fiber diameter into one measure of overall genetic merit. The ROM Index is recommended as a selection criterion. However, breeders may want to weight the traits differently from the ROM Index depending on their breeding objective.

This report was compiled by:

D.F. Waldron  
Texas A&M AgriLife Research  
Texas A&M System  
7887 US Highway 87 N  
San Angelo, TX 76901

## Notes about sire rankings

The sire ranking pages show how many sons contributed to each sire's estimate. The column marked 'EBV' shows the sire's Estimated Breeding Value. The EBVs are the expected performance based on the average performance from 1995-2000 at the Texas A&M Sonora Central Ram Performance Test. Performance of progeny will vary in different management programs. However, the differences between sires are not expected to vary in different environments. Therefore, if Sire A has a finer EBV for fiber diameter than Sire B, Sire A's daughters are expected to be finer than those of Sire B, even though they are managed on pasture and expected to be finer than their sires.

**The ROM (Registry of Merit) Index** was calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I = & 60 \quad \times \quad [\text{average daily body weight gain in pounds}] \\
 & + 4 \quad \times \quad [365\text{-day staple length in inches}] \text{ (with no credit above 5.5 inches)} \\
 & + 4 \quad \times \quad [365\text{-day clean fleece weight in pounds}] \\
 & - 3 \quad \times \quad [\text{fiber diameter in microns } (\mu\text{m}) - 22.9] \text{ (no additional credit for} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \text{being finer than 19.9 microns or coarser than 24.9 microns)} \\
 & + 1.25 \times [22.0 - \text{CV}] \text{ (with a maximum increase or decrease of 5 points)}
 \end{aligned}$$

The index calculation used the average fiber diameter and CV from a core sample. Because fleeces were not cored in 1997, sons tested in 1997 were not used for the rankings for fiber diameter (core), fiber variability (CV), and ROM index.

### Breeder prefixes, names and towns.

Prefix	Breeder	Town
ASU	Angelo State University	San Angelo
B Faris	Brian Faris	Sonora
Bradford	Maurice Bradford	San Angelo
C&S Menzies	Carl & Shirley Menzies	San Angelo
Campbell	Fred Campbell	Paint Rock
Eckhoff	Eckhoff	Bend
Erk		South Dakota
Fincher	Ken Fincher	Water Valley
Gainer	Gainer Ranch	Menard
Hageman	Hageman Sisters	Wyoming
HCR	Hill Country Rambouillet	Sonora
JWR	JW Ranch	Menard
Landers	Landers Ranch	Menard
M Jernigan	Mike Jernigan	Iraan
MSC	Mortgaged Sheep Company	Eden
OFP	Our Father's Place	Ft. Stockton
P Rose	Pat Rose III	Brackettville
R-J	Richardson-Jernigan	Iraan
S Menzies	Scotty Menzies	Menard
Schunke	James Schunke	Goldthwaite
T.Jones	Travis Jones	Fredericksburg
TAES	Texas Agric. Experiment Station	Sonora and Barnhart
TRSG	Texas Rambouillet Superior Gen.	Mertzson
WB	Walking Bars	Garden City

## Sires Ranked for ROM Index

\* Designates sires with sons tested in 2017.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	R-J 6140	30	134	71	TAES 6987	27	122
2	R-J 3188	71	132	72	TRSG 881	7	122
3	R-J 192	19	132	73	TAES B2452	7	122
4	OFFP 956	7	131	74	TRSG 1377	5	122
5	TAES 6483	5	130	75	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	122
6	OFFP 656	10	129	76	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	121
7	TAES 6090	11	129	77	TAES 7171	13	121
8	TAES B3167	16	129	78	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	121
9	P ROSE R3297	14	128	79	R-J 243	18	121
10	OFFP 829	13	128	80	TRSG 298	25	121
11	TAES B2863	7	128	81	P ROSE R3317	4	121
12	OFFP 809	7	128	82	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	121
13	TAES 6808	12	128	83	TAES 8896	6	121
14	TAES 8468	5	128	84	T.JONES 1-83	11	121
15	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	128	85	TAES 6648	6	121
16	TAES 8762	20	127	86	TRSG 902	10	121
17	P ROSE R3763	7	127	87	SCHUNKE 3447	6	121
18	TAES 8656	10	127	88	CAMPBELL 4047	5	121
19	P ROSE R3557	17	127	89	TRSG 753	9	121
20	TAES 7610	9	127	90	TAES B2365	4	121
21	TAES B3252	22	127	91	R-J 2669	7	120
22	TAES 6099	12	127	92	TRSG 1008	7	120
23	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	127	93	TAES 6724	6	120
24	TAES B2888	25	127	94	TAES 5678	4	120
25	LANDERS J511	5	126	95	TAES 5034	4	120
26	TAES 8778	10	126	96	P ROSE R3761	15	120
27	TAES 7570	38	126	97	TRSG 1223	5	120
28	P ROSE R3865	10	126	98	S MENZIES 866	7	120
29	TRSG 773	20	126	99	JENNINGS 77	4	120
30	TAES B2202	10	126	100	WB 2402	5	119
31	P ROSE R4167	6	126	101	B FARIS 392	25	119
32	TRSG 310	7	126	102	CAMPBELL 5399	10	119
33	B FARIS 253	4	126	103	Keyes 202	5	119
34	TAES 7824	5	126	104	TAES 7003	12	119
35	P ROSE R3645	8	125	105	B FARIS 242	5	118
36	TAES 8582	5	125	106	TRSG 953	8	118
37	TAES 5501	8	125	107	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	118
38	P ROSE R3653	7	125	108	C&S MENZIES 3138	8	118
39	TAES 5795	11	125	109	MSC 1415	4	117
40	TAES 6014	7	125	110	CLARK 156	4	117
41	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	125	111	SCHUNKE 1878	13	117
42	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	125	112	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	117
43	TAES B3403	12	125	113	JWR 557-978640	7	117
44	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	125	114	ASU 4084	7	117
45	W Fincher 142	5	124	115	WB 2365	4	117
46	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	124	116	BRADFORD 0242	4	116
47	TAES 7693	4	124	117	SCHUNKE 1992	6	116
48	HAGEMAN 3051	6	124	118	TLB	4	116
49	B FARIS 388	14	124	119	Price 1245	5	116
50	TAES 6880	6	124	120	ECKHOFF 0400	7	116
51	TAES 6439	6	124	121	T.JONES 545	5	116
52	SCHUNKE 317	8	124	122	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	116
53	TAES 7118	16	124	123	S MENZIES 865	12	116
54	ERK B1 093	3	124	124	FINCHER 1407	9	115
55	T.JONES 448	14	124	125	R-758	5	115
56	TRSG 1610	4	124	126	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	115
57	Schunke 3447	11	124	127	T.JONES 8-21	10	115
58	HCR 622	48	123	128	HCR 634	12	114
59	TAES 8036	4	123	129	WB 2715	5	114
60	P ROSE R4407	6	123	130	HCR 711	6	113
61	SCHUNKE 2390	7	123	131	ECKHOFF 212	4	113
62	TAES 7363	14	123	132	BRADFORD 0009	4	113
63	B FARIS 325	11	123	133	S MENZIES 934	6	113
64	TAES 8117	4	123	134	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	113
65	TAES 8803	14	122	135	WB 2233	4	112
66	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	122	136	HCR 504	4	112
67	TAES 8287	24	122				
68	SCHUNKE 2388	5	122				
69	TAES 6574	4	122				
70	GAINER 01119	9	122				

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2017)

# Sires Ranked for 140-day ADG-body

\* Designates sires with sons tested in 2017.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	.879	71	TAES 6574	4	.809
2	TAES 8762	20 *	.852	72	TAES 6090	11	.809
3	R-J 5064	10	.849	73	JWR 557-978640	7	.809
4	B FARIS 388	14	.847	74	TAES 7570	38	.809
5	TAES 6014	7	.846	75	TAES 6987	27	.809
6	Keyes 202	5	.843	76	TAES B2365	4	.809
7	R-J 2669	7	.840	77	ECKHOFF 212	4	.808
8	TAES 6483	5	.836	78	TAES 8778	10	.808
9	HCR 622	48	.836	79	CAMPBELL 4047	5	.806
10	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	.835	80	TAES B3403	12	.805
11	R-J 192	19	.833	81	TAES 7693	4	.804
12	TRSG 310	7	.833	82	TAES 7003	12	.803
13	TAES 6880	6	.832	83	TAES 8117	4	.802
14	B FARIS 253	4	.832	84	TAES 5678	4	.801
15	P ROSE R4167	6	.832	85	P ROSE R3653	7	.801
16	TRSG 1377	5	.831	86	FINCHER 1407	9	.800
17	TAES 8582	5	.831	87	TRSG 1008	7	.800
18	S MENZIES 865	12	.831	88	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	.800
19	SCHUNKE 317	8	.830	89	OPF 829	13	.800
20	TAES 8656	10	.830	90	TAES 8896	6 *	.800
21	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	.830	91	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	.799
22	B FARIS 325	11	.829	92	CLARK 156	4	.799
23	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	.829	93	SCHUNKE 1878	13	.798
24	R-J 3188	71	.829	94	WB 2715	5	.798
25	OPF 809	7	.828	95	R-J 6140	30	.797
26	TAES 5795	11	.828	96	TAES 5034	4	.796
27	TAES B3252	22	.828	97	HCR 504	4	.796
28	TAES 7610	9	.827	98	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	.796
29	TAES 6724	6	.827	99	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	.795
30	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	.826	100	P ROSE R4407	6	.795
31	S MENZIES 866	7	.826	101	S MENZIES 934	6	.794
32	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	.825	102	WB 2233	4	.794
33	P ROSE R3317	4	.825	103	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	.794
34	T.JONES 1-83	11	.825	104	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	.794
35	TAES 6439	6	.824	105	TRSG 1610	4	.793
36	TAES 6099	12	.824	106	TRSG 902	10	.793
37	GAINER 01119	9	.824	107	Schunke 3447	11	.793
38	TAES 8468	5	.824	108	P ROSE R3557	17	.793
39	LANDERS J511	5	.823	109	TRSG 1223	5	.793
40	TAES 8036	4	.822	110	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	.793
41	TRSG 298	25	.822	111	OPF 656	10	.793
42	ERK B1 093	3 *	.821	112	P ROSE R3761	15	.792
43	P ROSE R3763	7	.820	113	TAES B2863	7	.791
44	S MENZIES 801	4	.819	114	TAES 5501	8	.790
45	HCR 634	12	.819	115	TAES B2452	7	.788
46	JENNINGS 77	4	.819	116	ASU 4084	7	.788
47	HAGEMAN 3051	6	.819	117	TRSG 953	8	.787
48	P ROSE R3645	8	.818	118	CAMPBELL 5399	10	.786
49	ERK 7170	4	.818	119	ECKHOFF 0400	7	.786
50	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	.818	120	BRADFORD 0242	4	.786
51	W Fincher 142	5	.818	121	TAES B2888	25	.785
52	SCHUNKE 2388	5	.817	122	BRADFORD 0009	4	.781
53	TRSG 881	7	.816	123	P ROSE R3297	14	.781
54	SCHUNKE 3447	6 *	.816	124	TAES 8287	24	.780
55	R-J 243	18	.816	125	T.JONES 448	14	.779
56	TAES 7824	5	.815	126	TAES 7363	14	.778
57	SCHUNKE 2390	7 *	.814	127	TAES 8803	14 *	.775
58	WB 2402	5	.813	128	TRSG 753	9	.775
59	TAES B3167	16	.813	129	MSC 1415	4	.775
60	B FARIS 242	5	.813	130	TLB	4	.773
61	OPF 956	7	.812	131	R-758	5	.772
62	TAES B2202	10	.811	132	SCHUNKE 1992	6	.771
63	TRSG 773	20	.811	133	T.JONES 545	5	.770
64	TAES 6808	12	.811	134	P ROSE R3865	10	.769
65	B FARIS 392	25	.811	135	T.JONES 8-21	10	.766
66	WB 2365	4	.811	136	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	.764
67	HCR 711	6	.810	137	TAES 7171	13	.762
68	TAES 6648	6	.810	138	Price 1245	5	.756
69	C&S MENZIES 3138	8	.810	139	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	.752
70	TAES 7118	16	.810				

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2017)

# Sires Ranked for Staple Length

\* Designates sires with sons tested in 2017.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	P ROSE R3865	10	5.68	71	WB 2402	5	5.12
2	P ROSE R3297	14	5.63	72	TAES 7118	16	5.12
3	R-J 6140	30	5.54	73	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	5.12
4	P ROSE R3557	17	5.52	74	SCHUNKE 2388	5	5.12
5	P ROSE R3653	7	5.49	75	GAINER 01119	9	5.12
6	R-J 192	19	5.49	76	T.JONES 1-83	11	5.11
7	R-J 3188	71	5.45	77	P ROSE R3317	4	5.11
8	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	5.44	78	TRSG 1223	5	5.11
9	OFFP 956	7	5.42	79	TRSG 773	20	5.10
10	P ROSE R3761	15	5.41	80	TRSG 881	7	5.10
11	TAES B2863	7	5.41	81	Price 1245	5	5.09
12	TAES B3403	12	5.40	82	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	5.09
13	P ROSE R4407	6	5.39	83	TAES 6014	7	5.08
14	OFFP 809	7	5.39	84	TAES 6987	27	5.08
15	TAES B2888	25	5.39	85	ASU 4084	7	5.08
16	TRSG 902	10	5.38	86	S MENZIES 801	4	5.08
17	TAES B3252	22	5.36	87	TAES 8036	4	5.08
18	TRSG 1610	4	5.35	88	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	5.08
19	TAES 7363	14	5.34	89	HCR 622	48	5.08
20	TAES B3167	16	5.33	90	MSC 1415	4	5.07
21	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	5.32	91	TAES B2202	10	5.07
22	TAES 6648	6	5.32	92	ERK B1 093	3 *	5.06
23	TAES 7610	9	5.31	93	CLARK 156	4	5.06
24	OFFP 656	10	5.31	94	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	5.06
25	OFFP 829	13	5.29	95	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	5.06
26	TAES B2452	7	5.29	96	JENNINGS 77	4	5.05
27	TAES 6099	12	5.29	97	B FARIS 392	25	5.05
28	TAES 5501	8	5.29	98	TAES 6439	6	5.04
29	TAES 7693	4	5.29	99	HAGEMAN 3051	6	5.04
30	LANDERS J511	5	5.27	100	ECKHOFF 0400	7	5.04
31	P ROSE R3763	7	5.27	101	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	5.03
32	TAES 6090	11	5.27	102	T.JONES 448	14	5.03
33	P ROSE R4167	6	5.27	103	TAES 5034	4	5.03
34	R-758	5	5.27	104	SCHUNKE 2390	7 *	5.02
35	TAES 8287	24	5.26	105	SCHUNKE 1878	13	5.02
36	R-J 5064	10	5.26	106	TRSG 953	8	5.02
37	TAES 7570	38	5.25	107	TAES 6880	6	5.02
38	CAMPBELL 5399	10	5.25	108	JWR 557-978640	7	5.01
39	TAES 8656	10	5.25	109	TAES 7171	13	5.01
40	TAES 8468	5	5.25	110	S MENZIES 866	7	5.00
41	TAES 8803	14 *	5.24	111	TAES 6724	6	5.00
42	TAES 8582	5	5.23	112	T.JONES 545	5	4.99
43	SCHUNKE 1992	6	5.22	113	T.JONES 8-21	10	4.99
44	TRSG 1377	5	5.21	114	BRADFORD 0009	4	4.99
45	TAES 8896	6 *	5.21	115	BRADFORD 0242	4	4.99
46	B FARIS 253	4	5.20	116	TAES 5795	11	4.98
47	TAES 6483	5	5.20	117	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	4.96
48	TAES B2365	4	5.19	118	TAES 5678	4	4.96
49	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	5.19	119	WB 2365	4	4.96
50	R-J 2669	7	5.19	120	TRSG 298	25	4.96
51	TAES 8762	20 *	5.19	121	B FARIS 325	11	4.95
52	TAES 8778	10	5.18	122	HCR 634	12	4.94
53	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	5.18	123	S MENZIES 865	12	4.94
54	TAES 6808	12	5.18	124	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	4.93
55	TRSG 753	9	5.17	125	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	4.93
56	TAES 7824	5	5.17	126	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	4.93
57	CAMPBELL 4047	5	5.17	127	TAES 7003	12	4.92
58	Schunke 3447	11	5.17	128	Keyes 202	5	4.90
59	P ROSE R3645	8	5.16	129	C&S MENZIES 3138	8	4.90
60	TLB	4	5.16	130	ERK 7170	4	4.90
61	SCHUNKE 3447	6 *	5.16	131	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	4.89
62	W Fincher 142	5	5.16	132	S MENZIES 934	6	4.88
63	TRSG 1008	7	5.15	133	ECKHOFF 212	4	4.88
64	TAES 8117	4	5.15	134	WB 2233	4	4.85
65	R-J 243	18	5.15	135	HCR 711	6	4.83
66	B FARIS 242	5	5.15	136	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	4.83
67	TRSG 310	7	5.14	137	WB 2715	5	4.83
68	SCHUNKE 317	8	5.13	138	HCR 504	4	4.81
69	TAES 6574	4	5.13	139	FINCHER 1407	9	4.79
70	B FARIS 388	14	5.13	139	FINCHER 1407	9	4.79

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2017)

# Sires Ranked for Clean Fleece Wt

\* Designates sires with sons tested in 2017.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	R-J 6140	30	14.9	71	B FARIS 388	14	12.0
2	R-J 3188	71	14.4	72	P ROSE R3317	4	12.0
3	R-J 192	19	13.9	73	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	12.0
4	OFP 956	7	13.6	74	SCHUNKE 317	8	12.0
5	OFP 656	10	13.6	75	CAMPBELL 5399	10	12.0
6	R-J 5064	10	13.5	76	R-758	5	11.9
7	TAES B2863	7	13.4	77	ERK B1 093	3	11.9
8	OFP 829	13	13.3	78	GAINER 01119	9	11.9
9	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	13.2	79	TAES 6439	6	11.9
10	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	13.1	80	TRSG 1223	5	11.9
11	TAES 6483	5	12.9	81	TAES 6648	6	11.9
12	P ROSE R3763	7	12.9	82	TRSG 1008	7	11.9
13	TRSG 773	20	12.9	83	T.JONES 545	5	11.9
14	P ROSE R3297	14	12.9	84	TAES 8117	4	11.9
15	P ROSE R4167	6	12.8	85	TAES B2452	7	11.9
16	TAES 7570	38	12.8	86	TAES 8287	24	11.9
17	P ROSE R3865	10	12.8	87	HCR 622	48	11.9
18	LANDERS J511	5	12.7	88	P ROSE R3761	15	11.9
19	TAES 6808	12	12.7	89	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	11.8
20	TAES B3167	16	12.7	90	ERK 7170	4	11.8
21	TAES B2202	10	12.7	91	SCHUNKE 1992	6	11.8
22	TAES B2888	25	12.7	92	TAES 6574	4	11.8
23	P ROSE R3653	7	12.7	93	S MENZIES 801	4	11.8
24	TAES 5501	8	12.7	94	R-J 243	18	11.8
25	TAES 6099	12	12.6	95	TAES 5678	4	11.7
26	TAES 7693	4	12.6	96	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	11.7
27	TAES 8468	5	12.6	97	TAES 8036	4	11.7
28	P ROSE R3557	17	12.6	98	CAMPBELL 4047	5	11.7
29	TAES 8896	6	12.6	99	TRSG 298	25	11.7
30	TRSG 310	7	12.5	100	Keyes 202	5	11.7
31	TAES 7610	9	12.5	101	TAES 5795	11	11.7
32	TAES 7363	14	12.5	102	S MENZIES 866	7	11.7
33	SCHUNKE 2390	7	12.4	103	TAES 6014	7	11.6
34	TAES 8778	10	12.4	104	TAES B2365	4	11.6
35	TRSG 1610	4	12.4	105	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	11.6
36	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	12.4	106	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	11.6
37	OFP 809	7	12.4	107	TAES 6987	27	11.6
38	TAES 6090	11	12.4	108	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	11.5
39	TAES 7118	16	12.4	109	B FARIS 325	11	11.5
40	Schunke 3447	11	12.4	110	SCHUNKE 3447	6	11.5
41	W Fincher 142	5	12.4	111	TAES 5034	4	11.5
42	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	12.3	112	B FARIS 392	25	11.5
43	TAES 6880	6	12.3	113	SCHUNKE 1878	13	11.5
44	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	12.3	114	BRADFORD 0242	4	11.5
45	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	12.3	115	WB 2402	5	11.4
46	Price 1245	5	12.3	116	ASU 4084	7	11.4
47	TRSG 902	10	12.2	117	TAES 7003	12	11.3
48	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	12.2	118	JENNINGS 77	4	11.3
49	TAES 7824	5	12.2	119	ECKHOFF 0400	7	11.3
50	T.JONES 448	14	12.2	120	JWR 557-978640	7	11.3
51	TAES B3252	22	12.2	121	B FARIS 242	5	11.3
52	TAES 8582	5	12.2	122	WB 2715	5	11.3
53	TAES 7171	13	12.2	123	CLARK 156	4	11.2
54	TAES 8656	10	12.2	124	ECKHOFF 212	4	11.2
55	B FARIS 253	4	12.2	125	FINCHER 1407	9	11.2
56	R-J 2669	7	12.2	126	C&S MENZIES 3138	8	11.2
57	TRSG 881	7	12.2	127	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	11.2
58	P ROSE R3645	8	12.2	128	WB 2365	4	11.2
59	TRSG 753	9	12.1	129	T.JONES 1-83	11	11.1
60	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	12.1	130	BRADFORD 0009	4	11.1
61	TRSG 953	8	12.1	131	TLB	4	11.0
62	TAES 8803	14	12.1	132	T.JONES 8-21	10	11.0
63	TAES 6724	6	12.1	133	HCR 504	4	10.9
64	P ROSE R4407	6	12.1	134	WB 2233	4	10.8
65	TAES 8762	20	12.0	135	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	10.8
66	SCHUNKE 2388	5	12.0	136	S MENZIES 865	12	10.7
67	HAGEMAN 3051	6	12.0	137	HCR 711	6	10.5
68	TAES B3403	12	12.0	138	S MENZIES 934	6	10.5
69	MSC 1415	4	12.0	139	HCR 634	12	10.3
70	TRSG 1377	5	12.0				

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2017)

## Sires Ranked for Fiber Diam. (core)

\* Designates sires with sons tested in 2017.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	TAES 8656	10	20.8	71	JWR 557-978640	7	22.0
2	TAES 8287	24	20.9	72	TRSG 753	9	22.0
3	TAES 8803	14 *	21.0	73	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	22.0
4	TAES 8117	4	21.1	74	B FARIS 242	5	22.0
5	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	21.1	75	P ROSE R3761	15	22.0
6	TAES 6014	7	21.1	76	TAES 7693	4	22.0
7	TAES 8762	20 *	21.2	77	OFP 656	10	22.0
8	TAES 6090	11	21.2	78	P ROSE R3763	7	22.0
9	P ROSE R3653	7	21.3	79	S MENZIES 934	6	22.0
10	TAES 6808	12	21.3	80	SCHUNKE 317	8	22.0
11	TAES B3167	16	21.3	81	TRSG 1008	7	22.1
12	P ROSE R3865	10	21.3	82	HCR 504	4	22.1
13	TAES 5795	11	21.3	83	TAES 7118	16	22.1
14	P ROSE R3297	14	21.3	84	T.JONES 8-21	10	22.1
15	TAES B3252	22	21.3	85	JENNINGS 77	4	22.1
16	P ROSE R3557	17	21.3	86	TRSG 1223	5	22.1
17	TAES 6987	27	21.3	87	B FARIS 388	14	22.1
18	TAES B3403	12	21.4	88	TAES B2863	7	22.1
19	TAES 7824	5	21.4	89	CAMPBELL 4047	5	22.1
20	T.JONES 1-83	11	21.4	90	TRSG 773	20	22.1
21	TAES 8036	4	21.4	91	T.JONES 545	5	22.1
22	ERK B1 093	3 *	21.4	92	B FARIS 392	25	22.1
23	TAES 6574	4	21.5	93	R-J 3188	71	22.2
24	TAES 7610	9	21.5	94	TRSG 881	7	22.2
25	T.JONES 448	14	21.5	95	TRSG 1610	4	22.2
26	OFP 809	7	21.5	96	ASU 4084	7	22.2
27	P ROSE R4407	6	21.5	97	R-J 243	18	22.2
28	TAES 5034	4	21.5	98	TRSG 298	25	22.2
29	TAES B2888	25	21.5	99	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	22.2
30	TAES 8468	5	21.5	100	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	22.2
31	TAES B2452	7	21.5	101	CAMPBELL 5399	10	22.2
32	TLB	4	21.5	102	SCHUNKE 2390	7 *	22.2
33	TAES 6099	12	21.6	103	LANDERS J511	5	22.3
34	P ROSE R3645	8	21.6	104	BRADFORD 0242	4	22.3
35	TAES 6439	6	21.6	105	TRSG 310	7	22.3
36	TAES 8778	10	21.6	106	HCR 622	48	22.3
37	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	21.6	107	WB 2233	4	22.3
38	CLARK 156	4	21.7	108	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	22.3
39	Schunke 3447	11	21.7	109	SCHUNKE 1878	13	22.3
40	TAES 6880	6	21.7	110	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	22.3
41	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	21.7	111	TRSG 1377	5	22.3
42	WB 2402	5	21.7	112	GAINER 01119	9	22.3
43	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	21.7	113	WB 2365	4	22.4
44	TAES 7171	13	21.7	114	S MENZIES 865	12	22.4
45	SCHUNKE 3447	6 *	21.7	115	S MENZIES 866	7	22.4
46	HCR 711	6	21.7	116	TRSG 953	8	22.4
47	TAES 5501	8	21.7	117	SCHUNKE 2388	5	22.4
48	TAES 6483	5	21.7	118	R-758	5	22.5
49	B FARIS 253	4	21.7	119	SCHUNKE 1992	6	22.5
50	TAES 7363	14	21.8	120	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	22.5
51	OFP 829	13	21.8	121	P ROSE R3317	4	22.5
52	FINCHER 1407	9	21.8	122	BRADFORD 0009	4	22.5
53	TAES 8582	5	21.8	123	MSC 1415	4	22.5
54	OFP 956	7	21.8	124	R-J 192	19	22.6
55	TAES 7570	38	21.8	125	WB 2715	5	22.6
56	TAES 7003	12	21.8	126	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	22.6
57	TAES B2365	4	21.8	127	ECKHOFF 0400	7	22.6
58	B FARIS 325	11	21.8	128	TAES 6724	6	22.7
59	HAGEMAN 3051	6	21.8	129	R-J 6140	30	22.7
60	TAES 5678	4	21.9	130	P ROSE R4167	6	22.7
61	TRSG 902	10	21.9	131	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	22.7
62	HCR 634	12	21.9	132	R-J 2669	7	22.7
63	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	21.9	133	Price 1245	5	22.7
64	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	21.9	134	TAES 8896	6 *	22.8
65	TAES B2202	10	21.9	135	ECKHOFF 212	4	22.9
66	C&S MENZIES 3138	8	21.9	136	Keyes 202	5	23.0
67	TAES 6648	6	21.9				
68	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	21.9				
69	W Fincher 142	5	22.0				
70	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	22.0				

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2017)

# Sires Ranked for Fiber Variability (CV)

\* Designates sires with sons tested in 2017.

Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV	Rank	Sire	Sons	EBV
1	T.JONES 448	14	19.1	71	TAES B2202	10	20.3
2	P ROSE R3865	10	19.1	72	WB 2233	4	20.3
3	TAES B2888	25	19.2	73	TAES 7693	4	20.3
4	TRSG 1610	4	19.3	74	SCHUNKE 1878	13	20.3
5	TAES 7171	13	19.3	75	TAES 7003	12	20.3
6	HCR 622	48	19.3	76	SCHUNKE 1992	6	20.3
7	T.JONES 8-21	10	19.4	77	TAES 8762	20	* 20.3
8	TAES B2863	7	19.6	78	B FARIS 388	14	20.4
9	TAES B3403	12	19.6	79	TAES 8582	5	20.4
10	R-J 6140	30	19.6	80	SCHUNKE 317	8	20.4
11	R-J 3188	71	19.6	81	TAES 6987	27	20.4
12	M JERNIGAN 2367	15	19.6	82	C&S MENZIES 2626	15	20.4
13	C&S MENZIES 1325	11	19.6	83	GAINER 01119	9	20.4
14	R-J 192	19	19.7	84	R-J 243	18	20.4
15	HAGEMAN 3051	6	19.7	85	P ROSE R4167	6	20.4
16	TAES 5795	11	19.7	86	Price 1245	5	20.4
17	OFP 956	7	19.7	87	S MENZIES 866	7	20.4
18	TRSG 298	25	19.7	88	C&S MENZIES 2720	5	20.4
19	TAES B2452	7	19.8	89	TAES 6808	12	20.4
20	C&S MENZIES 2464	27	19.8	90	C&S MENZIES 3113	12	20.4
21	TAES 6439	6	19.8	91	B FARIS 253	4	20.4
22	LANDERS J511	5	19.8	92	CAMPBELL 5399	10	20.4
23	TAES 6090	11	19.9	93	P ROSE R3557	17	20.4
24	TRSG 773	20	19.9	94	TAES B2365	4	20.4
25	TAES 5034	4	19.9	95	TRSG 1008	7	20.4
26	M JERNIGAN 5715	4	19.9	96	W Fincher 142	5	20.4
27	OFP 656	10	19.9	97	S MENZIES 934	6	20.5
28	TAES 5501	8	19.9	98	TAES 8896	6	* 20.5
29	SCHUNKE 2388	5	20.0	99	CLARK 156	4	20.5
30	TAES 8803	14	* 20.0	100	TAES 7363	14	20.5
31	TAES 8778	10	20.0	101	S MENZIES 865	12	20.5
32	B FARIS 325	11	20.0	102	TAES 8036	4	20.5
33	TLB	4	20.0	103	P ROSE R3761	15	20.6
34	OFP 809	7	20.0	104	TAES 6574	4	20.6
35	MSC 1415	4	20.0	105	SCHUNKE 3447	6	* 20.6
36	TRSG 753	9	20.0	106	P ROSE R3317	4	20.6
37	TAES B3167	16	20.0	107	WB 2715	5	20.6
38	B FARIS 392	25	20.0	108	TAES 6724	6	20.6
39	P ROSE R3297	14	20.1	109	C&S MENZIES 1833	14	20.6
40	ECKHOFF 0400	7	20.1	110	T.JONES 1-83	11	20.6
41	M JERNIGAN 5650	5	20.1	111	P ROSE R3653	7	20.7
42	BRADFORD 0242	4	20.1	112	TRSG 881	7	20.7
43	JENNINGS 77	4	20.1	113	ECKHOFF 212	4	20.7
44	M JERNIGAN 3683	7	20.1	114	SCHUNKE 2390	7	* 20.7
45	CAMPBELL 4047	5	20.1	115	P ROSE R3763	7	20.7
46	M JERNIGAN 6968	12	20.1	116	RABEL143 WYOMING	5	20.8
47	TAES 8656	10	20.1	117	B FARIS 242	5	20.8
48	TRSG 1223	5	20.1	118	WB 2402	5	20.8
49	TAES 7824	5	20.1	119	TRSG 953	8	20.9
50	TAES B3252	22	20.1	120	TAES 5678	4	20.9
51	P ROSE R3645	8	20.1	121	C&S MENZIES 2532	26	20.9
52	TAES 7118	16	20.1	122	JWR 557-978640	7	20.9
53	TAES 6483	5	20.2	123	Keyes 202	5	21.0
54	OFP 829	13	20.2	124	TAES 6648	6	21.0
55	C&S MENZIES 1771	10	20.2	125	R-J 2669	7	21.0
56	ASU 4084	7	20.2	126	TRSG 1377	5	21.1
57	TAES 8287	24	20.2	127	TRSG 902	10	21.1
58	BRADFORD 0009	4	20.2	128	HCR 634	12	21.2
59	TAES 7570	38	20.2	129	C&S MENZIES 2454	4	21.2
60	Schunke 3447	11	20.2	130	FINCHER 1407	9	21.2
61	TAES 7610	9	20.2	131	HCR 711	6	21.2
62	TRSG 310	7	20.3	132	HCR 504	4	21.2
63	WB 2365	4	20.3	133	TAES 6880	6	21.3
64	TAES 8468	5	20.3	134	C&S MENZIES 2887	4	21.3
65	TAES 6014	7	20.3	135	T.JONES 545	5	21.4
66	P ROSE R4407	6	20.3	136	R-758	5	21.5
67	C&S MENZIES 3138	8	20.3				
68	TAES 6099	12	20.3				
69	ERK B1 093	3	* 20.3				
70	TAES 8117	4	20.3				

Sire summary of Texas A&M ram test performance (1995-2017)



## Sire Summary of Ram Test Performance Alphabetical listing of sires (2008-2017)

The following pages list sires with 4 or more tested sons in the most recent 10 years. Sires are listed in alphabetical order by the breeder prefix. The breeding values are expressed as a deviation from a base. The base was the average performance on test from 1995 to 2000. Therefore, animals with a value of zero are average for this group of rams. A value of 0.5 in the fleece weight column indicates that this ram is 0.5 lbs better than the base for clean fleece weight. A value of -0.5 in the fleece weight column indicates that he is .5 lbs below the base. The values can be used to make comparisons of different sires.

As an example, consider TRSG 773 shown below. He had 20 tested sons. His breeding value for the ROM index is 5.0, indicating that he is 5.0 points better than the base. Immediately below the 5.0 is his rank (29) for ROM index value among all rams with 4 or more tested sons. His ADG value is 0.004 which indicates that he was near the average. His rank for ADG is 63. A breeding value and rank are shown for each of the 6 traits. TRSG 773 excels for fleece weight (rank of 13). He is in the middle of the range for growth rate, staple length, and fiber diameter. Sons of this ram would be of interest to ram buyers that want to improve fleece weight in a flock that already has a desirable growth rate and fiber diameter. These comparisons are among rams with sons on test. Some of the flocks represented on the test have been selecting to improve these traits for decades. Analysis of performance trends over time has shown that the breeders who have participated in the Texas A&M ram test have made significant improvement in several traits. Therefore, the average performance of these rams is likely different from the average of all Texas Rambouillet sheep.

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
TRSG 773	20	5.0	0.004	0.00	1.00	0.14	-0.39
TRSG 773	Rank ->	29	63	79	13	90	24

Texas A&M Sire Summary 2008-2017

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
C&S MENZIES 2626	15	0.8	-.007	-0.02	0.21	-0.11	0.06
C&S MENZIES 2626 Rank -> 75			88	88	60	63	82
C&S MENZIES 3113	12	-4.0	0.018	-0.27	-1.13	-0.05	0.12
C&S MENZIES 3113 Rank ->112			32	136	135	70	90
C&S MENZIES 3138	8	-3.0	0.003	-0.20	-0.72	-0.07	-0.02
C&S MENZIES 3138 Rank ->108			69	129	126	66	67
ERK B1 093	3*	2.8	0.014	-0.04	0.02	-0.57	-0.02
ERK B1 093 Rank -> 54			42	92	77	22	69
HAGEMAN 3051	6	3.3	0.012	-0.06	0.12	-0.16	-0.63
HAGEMAN 3051 Rank -> 48			47	99	67	59	15
Keyes 202	5	-1.9	0.036	-0.20	-0.23	1.02	0.67
Keyes 202 Rank ->103			6	128	100	136	123
RABEL143 WYOMING	5	0.2	0.023	-0.17	-0.39	-0.36	0.52
RABEL143 WYOMING Rank -> 82			21	125	108	37	116
SCHUNKE 1878	13	-3.8	-.009	-0.08	-0.44	0.33	0.02
SCHUNKE 1878 Rank ->111			93	105	113	109	74
SCHUNKE 1992	6	-4.8	-.036	0.12	-0.08	0.47	0.04
SCHUNKE 1992 Rank ->117			132	43	91	119	76
SCHUNKE 2388	5	1.3	0.010	0.02	0.12	0.45	-0.33
SCHUNKE 2388 Rank -> 68			52	74	66	117	29
SCHUNKE 2390	7*	1.8	0.007	-0.08	0.54	0.25	0.39
SCHUNKE 2390 Rank -> 61			57	104	33	102	114
SCHUNKE 3447	6*	-0.3	0.009	0.06	-0.41	-0.27	0.31
SCHUNKE 3447 Rank -> 87			54	61	110	45	105
Schunke 3447	11	2.5	-.014	0.07	0.46	-0.33	-0.07
Schunke 3447 Rank -> 57			107	58	40	39	60
T.JONES 448	14	2.7	-.028	-0.07	0.31	-0.52	-1.22
T.JONES 448 Rank -> 55			125	102	50	25	1
T.JONES 545	5	-5.4	-.037	-0.11	-0.02	0.14	1.05
T.JONES 545 Rank ->121			133	112	83	91	135
TAES 7693	4	3.4	-.003	0.19	0.72	-0.02	0.02
TAES 7693 Rank -> 47			81	29	26	76	73
TAES 8036	4	2.1	0.015	-0.02	-0.19	-0.59	0.22
TAES 8036 Rank -> 59			40	87	97	21	102
TAES 8117	4	1.5	-.005	0.05	-0.02	-0.92	-0.01
TAES 8117 Rank -> 64			83	64	84	4	70
TAES 8287	24	1.4	-.027	0.16	-0.04	-1.06	-0.09
TAES 8287 Rank -> 67			124	35	86	2	57
TAES 8468	5	6.6	0.017	0.15	0.71	-0.47	-0.05
TAES 8468 Rank -> 14			38	40	27	30	64
TAES 8582	5	4.4	0.024	0.13	0.29	-0.20	0.05
TAES 8582 Rank -> 36			17	42	52	53	79
TAES 8656	10	6.2	0.023	0.15	0.28	-1.24	-0.20
TAES 8656 Rank -> 18			20	39	54	1	47
TAES 8762	20*	6.5	0.045	0.09	0.12	-0.77	0.04
TAES 8762 Rank -> 16			2	51	65	7	77

Sires with \* following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.  
 Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.

Texas A&M Sire Summary 2008-2017

Sire	Sons	ROM Index	ADG	Staple	Fleece Weight	Fiber Diameter	Fiber CV
TAES 8778	10	5.4	0.001	0.08	0.54	-0.38	-0.30
TAES 8778	Rank ->	26	78	52	34	36	31
TAES 8803	14*	1.5	-.032	0.14	0.17	-0.96	-0.31
TAES 8803	Rank ->	65	127	41	62	3	30
TAES 8896	6*	0.1	-.007	0.11	0.68	0.82	0.17
TAES 8896	Rank ->	83	90	45	29	134	98
TAES B3252	22	6.0	0.021	0.26	0.30	-0.70	-0.18
TAES B3252	Rank ->	21	27	17	51	15	50
TRSG 1008	7	-0.6	-.007	0.05	-0.01	0.05	0.15
TRSG 1008	Rank ->	92	87	63	82	81	95
TRSG 1223	5	-1.0	-.014	0.01	0.02	0.10	-0.20
TRSG 1223	Rank ->	97	109	78	80	86	48
TRSG 1377	5	0.9	0.024	0.11	0.11	0.34	0.78
TRSG 1377	Rank ->	74	16	44	70	111	126
TRSG 1610	4	2.6	-.014	0.25	0.52	0.19	-1.03
TRSG 1610	Rank ->	56	105	18	35	95	4
TRSG 773	20	5.0	0.004	0.00	1.00	0.14	-0.39
TRSG 773	Rank ->	29	63	79	13	90	24
TRSG 881	7	1.0	0.009	0.00	0.25	0.18	0.37
TRSG 881	Rank ->	72	53	80	57	94	112
TRSG 902	10	-0.3	-.014	0.28	0.33	-0.11	0.82
TRSG 902	Rank ->	86	106	16	47	61	127

Sires with \* following the number of sons, have sons on test this year.  
 Each trait shows the EBV, the rank among rams with 4 or more sons is shown below.